Hidden markov model

Victor Kitov

v.v.kitov@yandex.ru

Markov model

- $z_1, z_2, ...z_N$ - some random sequence

$$p(z_1, z_2, ...z_N) = p(z_1)p(z_2|z_1)p(z_3|z_1, z_2)...p(z_N|z_1...z_{N-1})$$

- Markov model of order k:

$$p(z_n|z_1, ...z_{n-1}) = p(z_n|z_{n-k}...z_{n-1})$$

  - it is simpler
  - but easier to estimate

- Markov model of order k corresponds to Markov model of order 1, if we consider sequences of length k:

$$z_{n-1} \to \tilde{z}_{n-1} = (z_{n-1}, ...z_{n-k})$$

So its enough to consider only Markov sequences of order 1 (with larger set of states).

Hidden Markov model

At $t = 1$ HMM is in some random state with probability

$$p(y_1 = i) = \pi_i$$

For each time $t = 1, 2, ...$ HMM:

- is in some hidden state $y_t \in \{1, 2, ...S\}$
- generates some observable output x_t with probability $p(x_t|y_t) = b_{y_t}(x_t)$
- From t to $t+1$ HMM changes state with probability transition matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{S}$:

$$a_{ij} = p(y_{t+1} = j|y_t = i)$$

Definitions

- We will consider $x_t \in \{1, 2, ...R\}$, then $b_y(x)$ corresponds to matrix $B = \{b_{ir}\}_{i=1,...S}^{r=1,...R}$
- Parameters of HMM $\theta = \{\pi, A, B\}$.
- Suppose our HMM process lasted for T periods.
- Define:
  - $X := x_1 x_2 ... x_T$, $Y := y_1 y_2 ... y_T$
  - $X_{[i,j]} := x_i x_{i+1} ... x_j$, $Y_{[i,j]} := y_i y_{i+1} ... y_j$

Probability calculation

Then

$$p(X|Y) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} b_{y_t}(x_t)$$

$$p(Y) = \pi_{y_1} \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} a_{y_t y_{t+1}}$$

Together these two formulas give

$$p(Y, X) = p(Y)p(X|Y) = \pi_{y_1} \prod_{t=1}^{T-1} a_{y_t y_{t+1}} \prod_{t=1}^{T} b_{y_t}(x_t)$$

Problems occur when we need to calculate $P(X) = \sum_Y p(X, Y)$, because this contains exponentially rising with T number of terms.

Forward algorithm

- Define $\alpha_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i, x_1 ... x_t)$
- We can calculate α_t recursively:

$$\alpha_1(j, X) = p(y_1 = j,\ x_1) = p(y_1 = j)p(x_1|y_1 = j) = \pi_j b_j(x_1)$$

$$\alpha_{t+1}(j, X) = p(y_{t+1} = j, x_1 ... x_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{S} p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j, x_1 ... x_t x_{t+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{S} p(y_t = i, x_1 ... x_t)p(y_{t+1} = j|y_t = i)p(x_{t+1}|y_{t+1} = j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha_t(i, X)a_{ij}b_j(x_{t+1})$$

Forward algorithm

- Define $\alpha_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i, x_1 ... x_t)$
- We can calculate α_t recursively:

$$\alpha_1(j, X) = p(y_1 = j,\ x_1) = p(y_1 = j)p(x_1|y_1 = j) = \pi_j b_j(x_1)$$

$$\alpha_{t+1}(j, X) = p(y_{t+1} = j, x_1 ... x_{t+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{S} p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j, x_1 ... x_t x_{t+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{S} p(y_t = i, x_1 ... x_t)p(y_{t+1} = j|y_t = i)p(x_{t+1}|y_{t+1} = j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha_t(i, X)a_{ij}b_j(x_{t+1})$$

- Now its trivial to calculate $P(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha_T(i, X)$.
- Computational complexity of full forward pass $O(TS^2)$.
  - for $t = 1, 2, ...T$ summation over S terms for each of S states.
  - It can be reduced to TM where M is the number of non-zero entries in A if we set apriori some transitions as impossible.

Backward algorithm

Define

$$\beta_t(i, X) := p(X_{t+1}X_{t+2}...X_T|y_t = i)$$

As probability of empty event:

$$\beta_T(i, X) = p(\emptyset|y_T = i) = 1 \quad i = 1, 2, ...S$$

We can calculate β_t recursively:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_t(i, X) &= p(x_{t+1}...x_T|y_t = i) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{S} p(y_{t+1} = j|y_t = i)p(x_{t+1}|y_{t+1} = j)\times \\ &\quad \times p(x_{t+2}...x_T|y_{t+1} = j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{S} a_{ij}b_j(x_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(j, X)\end{aligned}$$

Properties of forward-backward calculation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha_t(i,X)\beta_t(i,X) = p(X) \quad \forall t = 1,2,...T$$

$$p(y_t = i|X) = \frac{\alpha_t(i,X)\beta_t(i,X)}{p(X)}$$

$$p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j|X) = \frac{\alpha_t(i,X)a_{ij}b_j(x_{t+1})\beta_{t+1}(j,X)}{p(X)}$$

- This calculation leads to numerical underflow as $\alpha_t(j,X) \to 0$ and $\beta_t(j,X) \to 0$ when $T \to \infty$.
  - Use feasible calculation with $\alpha'_t(j,X)$ and $\beta'_t(j,X)$ that don't $\to 0$ as T gets large.

Feasible calculation

Define

$$\alpha'_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i | X_{[1,t]})$$
$$\eta_t(i, X) := p(y_t = i, x_t | X_{[1,t-1]})$$
$$\eta_t(X) := p(x_t | X_{[1,t-1]})$$

Then

$$\alpha'_t(i, X) = \frac{\eta_t(i, X)}{\eta_t(X)}$$

Feasible recurrent calculation

$$\eta_1(i,X) = p(y_1 = i, x_1) = \pi_i b_i(x_1) \quad \eta(X) = p(x_1) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \eta_1(s,X)$$

For $t = 1, 2, \ldots T-1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_{t+1}(j,X) &= p(y_{t+1} = i, x_{t+1} | X_{[1,t]}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{S} p(y_t = i | X_{[1,t]}) p(y_{t+1} = j | y_t = i) p(x_{t+1} | y_{t+1} = j) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha'_t(i,X) a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\eta_{t+1}(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{S} \eta_{t+1}(j,X)$$

Feasible calculation

Define

$$\beta'_t(i,X) := \frac{p(X_{[t+1,T]}|y_t = i)}{p(X_{[t+1,T]}|X_{[1,t]})}$$

These values can be calculated recursively

$$\beta'_T(i,X) = 1$$

$$\beta'_t(i,X) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{S} p(y_{t+1}=j|y_t=i)p(x_{t+1}|y_{t+1}=j)p(X_{[t+2,T]}|y_{t+1}=j)}{p(x_{t+1}|X_{[1,t]})p(X_{[t+2,T]}|X_{[1,t+1]})}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{S} a_{ij} b_j(x_{t+1})\beta'_{t+1}(j,X)}{\eta_{t+1}(X)}, \quad t = T-1, ...1.$$

Feasible calculation

$$p(y_t = i|X) = \alpha'_t(i,X)\beta'_t(i,X)$$

$$p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j|X) = \frac{\alpha'_t(i,X)a_{ij}b_j(x_{t+1})\beta'_{t+1}(j,X)}{\eta_{t+1}(X)}$$

α', β' do not lead to problem of numerical underflow (do not $\to 0$ as T gets large) and provide a practical way to calculate probabilities of hidden states.

Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(y_t = i|X) &= \frac{p(y_t = i;\, X_{[1,t]};\, X_{[t+1,T]})}{p(X_{[1,t]};\, X_{[t+1,T]})} \\
&= \frac{p(X_{[1,t]})p(y_t = i|X_{[1,t]})p(X_{[t+1,T]}|y_t = i)}{p(X_{[1,t]})p(X_{[t+1,T]}|X_{[1,t]})} \\
&= \alpha'_t(i,X)b'_t(i,X)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(y_t = i, y_{t+1} = j|X) &= \frac{p(y_t = i,\, y_{t+1} = j,\, X_{[1,t]},\, x_{t+1}, X_{[t+2,T]})}{p(X_{[1,t]},\, x_{t+1}, X_{[t+2,T]})} \\
&= \frac{p(X_{[1,t]})p(y_t = i|X_{[1,t]})p(y_{t+1} = j|y_t = i)}{p(X_{[1,t]})p(x_{t+1}|X_{[1,t]})} \times \\
&\quad \times \frac{p(x_{t+1}|y_{t+1} = j)p(X_{[t+2,T]}|y_{t+1} = j)}{p(X_{[t+2,T]}|X_{[1,t+1]})} \\
&= \frac{\alpha'_t(i,X)a_{ij}b_j(x_{t+1})\beta'_{t+1}(j,X)}{\eta_{t+1}(X)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Viterbi algorithm

- Problem: for given $X_{[1,T]}$ find maximum probable $Y_{[1,T]}$.
  - full search considers S^T variants, impractical!
- Define

$$y_1^*, ...y_T^* := \arg\max_{y_1,...y_T} p(y_1, ...y_T, x_1, ...x_T)$$

$$\varepsilon_t(i, X) := \max_{y_1,...y_{t-1},} p\left(y_1...y_{t-1}y_t = i, x_1...x_t\right)$$

- Viterbi algorithm:
  - based on dynamic programming approach
  - forward pass: calculation of $\varepsilon_t(i, X)$ for all $t = 1, 2, ...T$ and $i = 1, 2, ...S$.
  - backward pass: calculation of y_T^* and recursively y_t^* for $t = T-1, T-2, ...1$.

Viterbi algorithm: forward pass

Define:

$$\varepsilon_t(i,X) := \max_{y_1,\dots y_{t-1},} p\left(y_1 \dots y_{t-1} y_t = i, x_1 \dots x_t\right)$$

Init:

$$\varepsilon_1(i,X) = p(x_1, y_1 = i) = \pi_i b_i(x_1)$$

For $t = 1, \dots T-1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{t+1}(i,X) &= \max_{y_1 \dots y_{t-1}, j} p(x_1 \dots x_t x_{t+1}, y_1 \dots y_{t-1} y_t = j, y_{t+1} = i) \\
&= \max_j \max_{y_1 \dots y_{t-1}} p(y_1 \dots y_{t-1} y_t = j, x_1 \dots x_t) p(x_{t+1} y_{t+1} = i | y_1 \dots y_{t-1} y_t = j, x_1 \dots x_t) \\
&= \max_j \max_{y_1 \dots y_{t-1}} p(y_1 \dots y_{t-1} y_t = j, x_1 \dots x_t) p(x_{t+1} y_{t+1} = i | y_t = j) \\
&= \max_j \max_{y_1 \dots y_{t-1}} p(y_1 \dots y_{t-1} y_t = j, x_1 \dots x_t) p(y_{t+1} = i | y_t = j) p(x_{t+1} | y_{t+1} = i) \\
&= \max_j \varepsilon_t(j,X) a_{ji} b_i(x_{t+1}) \\
v_{t+1}(i,X) &= \arg\max_j \varepsilon_t(j,X) a_{ji}
\end{aligned}$$

Viterbi algorithm: backward pass

Definitions

$$y_1^*, ...y_T^* := \arg\max_{y_1,...y_T} p(y_1, ...y_T, x_1, ...x_T)$$

$$\varepsilon_t(i, X) := \max_{y_1,...y_{t-1},} p\left(y_1...y_{t-1}y_t = i, x_1...x_t\right)$$

$$v_{t+1}(i, X) := \arg\max_j \varepsilon_t(j, X)a_{ji}$$

Init:

$$p^*(X) = \max_j \varepsilon(j, X)$$

$$y_T^*(X) = \arg\max_j \varepsilon(j, X)$$

For $t = T-1, T-2, ...1$:

$$y_t^*(X) = v_{t+1}(y_{t+1}^*(X))$$

Comments

- Computational complexity: $O(TS^2)$
  - need to calculate $\varepsilon_t(i, X)$ for S states
  - for each state need to take maximum over S states
- Memory complexity: $O(TS)$
- To avoid numeric underflow:
  - $\ln \max f = \max \ln f$
  - solve not for $\varepsilon_t(i, X)$ but for $\ln \varepsilon_t(i, X)$
  - we couldn't use this trick for Forward-Backward, because $\ln \left(\sum f_i\right) \neq \sum_i \ln f_i$